



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_ FI	ILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/543,235		04/05/2000	John C. Krumm	MCS-008-00	6912	
27662	7590	07/18/2003				
LYON & F	•		EXAM	EXAMINER		
0XNARD,		RIVE, SUITE 800 6		LAU, T	LAU, TUNG S	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	2863		
				DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠. ند ٠٠	n, ←						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/543,235	KRUMM, JOHN C.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tung S Lau	2863				
Peri d fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reprepriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<u>June 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.				
•	on of Claims  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio	n					
<i>,</i> —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will from consideration.					
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1,12-17,19 and 20 is/are rejected.						
•	☐ Claim(s) <u>1,12-17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) <u>2-11,18</u> is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
1.5	ion Papers	1					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	ıminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
	<ul> <li>The translation of the foreign language processes</li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	-						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 12, 19, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Day et al. (U.S. Patent 4,639,878).

#### Regarding claim 1:

Day discloses a method of determining a relative position and orientation between a base camera and a non-base camera, including measuring a path of an object with the base camera in a base coordinate frame (Col. 3-4, Lines 55-60); measuring the object path with the non base camera in a non-base coordinate frame (Col. 3-4, Lines 55-60); calculating transformation based on the object path; applying the transformation parameter to the object path measured by the non-base camera such that the object path measured by the non-based camera is expressed in the base coordinate frame (Col. 4-5, Lines 61-22).

## Regarding claim 12:

Day discloses a method of measuring a relative pose between two camera comprising selecting a time offset value corresponding to a time shift between the two cameras and calculating a transformation parameter using the time offset value (Col. 3-4, Lines 55-58), the transformation parameter capable of

Application/Control Number: 09/543,235 Page 3

Art Unit: 2863

transforming data in a coordinate frame of one of the two cameras into a coordinate frame of the other of the two cameras so as to obtain the relative pose (Col. 4-5, Lines 43-22).

#### Regarding claim 19:

Day discloses a method of calibrating a first and second range camera comprising measuring a path of an object with the first range camera to generate a first observed object path (Col. 3-4, Lines 54-43); measuring the object path with the second range camera to generate a second observed object path (Col. 3-4, Lines 54-58), and calculating a transformation parameter that causes the first observed object path to approximately overlap with the second observed object path so as to determine a relative pose between the first and second range cameras (Col. 4-5, Lines 60-32).

Regarding claims 13, 14, 15, 16, 20:

Day discloses the time offset value data (Col. 10, Lines 52-64), the measurements by the two camera of a path of an object (fig.3-5), the transformation parameter is calculated of each the time offset and error function (Col. 10, Lines 52-64, Col. 12, Lines 6-24).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 09/543,235

Art Unit: 2863

. . . . .

in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

a. Claim 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Day et al. (U.S. Patent 4,639,878) in view of Huang (U.S. Patent 4,945,493).

Day discloses a method including the subject matter discussed above except the use of least squares solution; Huang discloses the use of least squares solution in order to solve simultaneous equations to have a result quickly (Col. 5, Lines 32-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Day to have the use of least squares solution taught by Huang in order in order to solve simultaneous equations to have a result quickly (Col. 5, Lines 32-45).

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 2-11 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art fail to teach the moving is a person, matching data measured between the cameras, least median of square technique.

Application/Control Number: 09/543,235

Art Unit: 2863

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should

preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled

"Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for

regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC2800 RightFAX Telephone Numbers: TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX - (703)

872-9318, TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9319

TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX - (703) 872-9317

TL

July 11, 2003

John Barrow
Supervisory Parent Examiner
Tachnology Center 2800

Page 5